

From: [Randy Wheelock](#)
To: [Peter Lichtman](#); [Frederick Rollenhagen](#)
Cc: [Keith Montag](#); [Beth Luther](#)
Subject: Fw: Rezoning for towers
Date: Monday, February 01, 2021 8:31:14 PM

Please forward to appropriate parties quickly due to late arrival. Unknown whom else has received.

Randall P. Wheelock
Clear Creek County Commissioner, District 3, Chair
P.O. Box 2000
Georgetown, CO 80444
(970) 390-2195 (cell)
rwheelock@clearcreekcounty.us

Under CO Open Records Act, all messages sent to or by me from this account may be subject to public disclosure, unless the word "private" or "confidential" is in the subject line.

From: M R FELLER <mrfeller@msn.com>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 8:19 PM
To: Randy Wheelock
Subject: Rezoning for towers

Dear Randy,

It is my understanding that there is a zoom meeting tomorrow to discuss the rezoning of land in order for the Clear Creek Broadband (CCB) company to erect 3 radio towers for the broadband initiative to move forward.

I am asking you to not approve the rezoning at this time. I am in full support that the entire county needs faster internet speeds but believe multiple options need to be discussed. I am not convinced at this time that what has been proposed serves all the designated areas equally and is the best solution for those individual areas.

The Clear Creek Broadband company has lacked transparency through this whole process. A prime example is just the recent request for those of us on their mailing list to participate on the zoom meeting on February 2 to support the placement of the towers. January 31 was the first time I was ever notified where these towers were going to be physically located. There was never any information on their web site as to the proposed areas. The CCB never updated maps on their web site with the tower locations, even now there is no reference as to where the towers will be located. The company has the audacity to ask residents within the area to invest \$1000 without revealing the fact that they can't move forward without these towers and their approval. I just don't believe they are being upfront and truthful. Other issues I have are the latency of the service. I have written the

CCB multiple times asking that question. They were quick to respond that they would get that information to me within a day or two. Months/years later I have still not gotten an answer to that one question. Another example is when they had their initial meet at King Murphy the company was promising 100 Mbps. That was what I believed they were striving to achieve. Much to my surprise I found out through talking to someone that they had dropped their promised speed down to 50 Mbps. They have now introduced the potential of addition equipment such as repeaters without stating where these will need to be located and who will be affected. The web site is consistently stale although I must admit the web site was just recently updated. It lags behind what the company is proposing. The lack of communication is concerning considering the investment they have asked of the citizens in the proposed area.

I believe they have personal interests at heart for those located around the King Murphy area and not the interest of all the residents in the defined proposed service area. In my opinion, they brought the Old Squaw Pass and Echo Hills areas into the proposal in order to have a better chance at obtaining grant money.

Once again I urge you to not vote for the rezoning. I believe we need to hold this company accountable moving forward for what they are doing and I don't believe there has been any group overseeing CCB whether that is the county commissioners or some IT professionals that work for the county.

Thank you for your time.

Mark Feller
1970 Old Squaw Pass Rd

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10